



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Agent-based modelling and construction – reconstructing antiquity's largest infrastructure project

Citation for published version:

Snyder, J, Dilaver, O, Stevenson, L, Mackie, J & Smith, S 2018, 'Agent-based modelling and construction – reconstructing antiquity's largest infrastructure project', *Construction Management and Economics*, vol. 36, no. 6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2017.1403639>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1080/01446193.2017.1403639](https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2017.1403639)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Construction Management and Economics


General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





**Agent-based modelling and construction – reconstructing
antiquity's largest infrastructure project**

Journal:	<i>Construction Management and Economics</i>
Manuscript ID	RCME-IP-16-0022.R1
Manuscript Type:	Invited Paper
Keywords:	Heritage, Project management
Keywords (user-entered):	Agent-based modelling, Byzantine Constantinople, Archaeological engineering

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Agent-based modelling and construction – learning from antiquity’s largest infrastructure project

Archaeological remains around the world are testament that large-scale construction projects have been successfully carried out for millennia. This success is particularly evident through the great infrastructural works of the Roman Empire, yet, it was when the capital was moved from Rome to Constantinople in late antiquity that the largest of these projects was undertaken. This megaproject of the fourth- and fifth-century water supply was made of hundreds of kilometres aqueduct channels and bridges that brought fresh water to the city’s complex system of reservoirs and cisterns. Unlike projects of the previous centuries, we are left with no written record of how this titanic project was undertaken and existing archaeological and historical commentaries on structures of this period do not provide details of organisation of construction. We explore the nature of building Constantinople’s water supply through the application of agent-based modelling— a method for simulating the actions, interactions and behaviours of autonomous agents and the resulting emergent properties of the system in which they are a part. This paper demonstrates the ability of ABM to develop and test richer hypotheses about historical construction organisation and management than the sparse or missing physical and historical evidence on their own.

Keywords: heritage, project management, Byzantine Constantinople, Archaeological Engineering, agent-based modelling.

INTRODUCTION

The work of the modern construction manager, or construction management academic, concerns the ability and resourcefulness of society to provide for its needs via the built environment. We are concerned and interested in aspects such as value for money, project quality, care for the worker, sustainability etc. and investigate how these can be theoretically understood and continually improved. We forget, however, that these needs are not new and that the ability of the built environment to meet the demands of a civil society has been an area of significant interest for centuries, if not millennia.

Project Management as a means of delivering solutions that meet these needs is often considered a modern concept, born from the systematic application of tools and techniques to complex engineering projects (Kwak, 2005). But if we turn to the classical sources for a perspective on construction projects and their management, we see the linguistic hallmarks of ‘modern’ project management. Vitruvius, a Roman architect and engineer from the first century B.C., wrote about the fundamental principles of architecture (*De architectura*, 1.2.9), saying “Economy denotes the proper management of materials and of site, as well as a thrifty balancing of cost and common sense in the construction of works.” A little over a century later, a politician named Frontinus was appointed *curator aquarum* (Commissioner of Water) for the city of Rome, during which time he wrote about the management of the city’s water supply. This role included the responsibility for public work crews and he writes:

It was customary for members of each of these large crews to be withdrawn for use in private construction, through favoritism or negligence on the part of those in charge. I determined to recall them all to some orderly management, and I organized these public servants that I myself should prescribe a day in advance what each crew was to do and by having a record kept of their daily accomplishments (Frontinus, De Aquaeductu Urbis Romae, 117.4).

While “we see much that resonates with contemporary ‘good management practice’” (Morris 2013), it is important to keep in mind the differences between modern Project Management and the management of projects in history. Walker and Dart (2011) point to the way processes were enacted as being the most significantly difference from modern project management. They continue by explaining that Frontinus, as an “accidental project manager” with sole responsibility for the success or failure of a project, wielded great authoritarian power over workers and contractors. This encouraged a project’s success through fear of

repercussions, a feature of Roman imperial culture rather than a systemic practice isolated to construction.

Constantinople's founding as the administrative capital of the empire in the early fourth century was rooted in a rapid infrastructural building programme, much of which is still visible in the great urban sprawl of modern Istanbul. However, unlike the extensive written evidence we have concerning earlier Roman construction from the likes of Vitruvius and Frontinus, there is very little to help answer how large-scale projects like the longest Roman water supply system were managed in Constantinople. This lack of written evidence has led many scholars to conclude that this aspect of Roman society was also part of the broad decline attributed to the Late Roman period, without the consideration of the physical evidence. Cases of failures and fissures in administration in the later empire are dwelled upon in Manas's (2010) book on projects in the Roman Empire. However, this is contrasted with the work of Chiu (2012), who produces a well-researched examination of important large-scale projects in the medieval period, particularly three case studies from the fifth and sixth centuries.

In order to gain a better understanding of the construction of the ancient mega-project of Constantinople's water supply, the expertise of archaeologists and engineers have been brought together in a unique initiative. The interface of archaeology and engineering is not common but not entirely new either. While they represent two very different cultures of research (Jerkø, 2009) the desire for most parts of modern society to understand and protect its cultural heritage perhaps requires a multi-cultural approach. In addition to providing insights into the factual aspects of these historical sites and indications of the needs of the day, this interdisciplinary approach is used to addresses the *human side* of the construction of Constantinople's water supply including the organisation and governance of the project.

We have two primary aims to cover. First, we shall briefly outline the nature of the water supply of Constantinople, a fourth- and fifth-century AD infrastructure project. This will address the basic questions of *who, what, when, where and why* that are answerable by traditional historical, archaeological and architectural evidence. Our second aim is to explore the *how*— specifically, how was this megaproject realised? Not knowing exactly what type of construction management tools and practices early Byzantine builders had, we have generated hypotheses and examine how well they a) fit into facts about the site and historical information and b) yield results towards the completion of the water supply given the conditions of the time by using computer simulations. We will introduce and explore the use of Agent-Based Modelling (ABM) as a means to model a system for which there is a great deal of uncertainty and only partial understanding of the drivers that dictate its inputs— an important step in furthering the academic debate and theory-building about a topic and time period underrepresented in modern scholarship.

CONTEXTS

A brief history of Constantinople's water supply

Emperor Constantine's decision to move the administrative capital to an eastern fishing village called Byzantium in the early fourth century preserved a changing empire for over a millennium. Located on the Bosphorus Strait in the heart of what is now modern Istanbul, Byzantium was chosen as the 'New Rome' and was eventually renamed Constantinople after its founder (Treadgold 2001). With its new status came a massive population influx, creating a strain on the most valuable resource of a successful Roman city: water.

Unlike Rome, however, there was not a plentiful source of fresh water in the city or immediate environs. The mid-fourth-century court orator named Themistius described

Constantinople as being at threat of becoming a city “girdled by gold but dying of thirst” (Mango 1995). Only 15 years after Constantine founded the city as the new heart of the empire, his son, Constantius II commissioned a new water supply – showing their commitment to the development of Constantinople as a real long-term investment.

The initial long-distance line was completed around 373 AD at an impressive length of 246 km in length stretching into the Thracian hinterland. This single-channel system was far longer than any other line from a Roman aqueduct, yet there was more to come. In the fifth century, an additional long-distance line was built even further west to spring sources near the modern town of Vize – a length of at least 181 km and likely much longer (see Ruggeri et al. 2016). This construction phase was marked by larger channels and monumental aqueduct bridges (Figure 1), some reaching almost 40 m in height and 140 m long. The overall development of the water supply system is shown in Figure 2.

Once the water reached Constantinople, it was collected and stored in the many cisterns and reservoirs throughout the city. While storing water in these structures was not a new phenomenon, the size and quantity of those found in Constantinople marked a great transition from typical Roman water management (Crow, Bardill and Bayliss 2008). At present count, 211 cisterns or reservoirs were built from the time the city was founded through Ottoman rule (Ward et al. 2016). These ranged in size from covered cisterns of only a few cubic meters to vast open-air reservoirs that could hold up to 240,000 m³ of water. Covered cisterns were typically constructed with thick walls abutted against a hillside. Their ceiling was usually groin vaulted and supported by monolithic columns, providing a foundation for large structures to be built above (Ousterhout 2008). Open-air reservoirs were also built into embankments. Because the high walls would need to retain the embankment or the weight of stored water, these structures featured buttressing of semi-circular niches projecting inward or outward respectively.

The structures of the water supply system are made up of three basic materials: stone, mortar, and bricks. Walls and vaulting of cisterns were commonly constructed of solid brick masonry whereas open-air reservoirs were built of *Opus vittatum*— a common building style in Constantinople made of brick courses alternating with mortared rubble courses faced with small dressed stone (Figure 3). Stone masonry was used exclusively on the fourth- and fifth-century aqueduct bridges and channels in Constantinople's hinterland. However, vast quantities of crushed bricks were still required to produce the waterproof mortar that was used in all structures of the water supply system.

In terms of procurement, much of the materials for constructing the water supply came from local sources (Snyder 2016). This was a common practice for non-decorative materials throughout the classical period. Just as today, land transportation was one of the costliest ways of travel, especially for freight. This was typically done with ox carts, particularly slow over uneven ground and requiring the added concerns associated with animal welfare. For a linear structure like the water supply, choosing raw material sources close to the worksite would be more economically viable than a single centralised quarry, for instance.

We know considerably less about the actual builders of the water supply. As mentioned above, the textual evidence for construction in late antiquity is sparse and archaeological remains reveal very little about the people who were involved in these projects. In fact, the only definitive information we have are masons' marks— ambiguous monograms possibly denoting individual masons, guilds or workshops— on the monumental fifth-century bridges (for example see Figure 4) and stone water pipes within the city. An added difficulty is that this was a period of great cultural and administrative transition, reflecting a time between the formally educated Roman architect (engineer) and the experientially-trained Byzantine master builder. As Constantinople grew with a massive population influx of peoples from diverse cultural backgrounds, it is likely that the labour force reflected this same diversity.

Agent-based Modelling

Agent-based modelling (ABM) is a constructive research approach that enables the modeller to create a detailed hypothetical reality by generating virtual representatives ('agents') of the concepts that are relevant to the study, to assign qualitative or mathematical properties to these representative entities and to define logical rules that govern, constrain or produce their behaviour and interactions.

At its heart, ABM embraces the concept of emergence whereby the actions and behaviours of individual entities lead to patterns and regularities at a macro level that are not shown by the individuals. In the social sciences much research is given over to understanding not only how individuals behave but also how the interaction of these individual entities lead to macro-scale outcomes. ABM has thus become a popular tool in the social sciences, including economics, sociology and the interdisciplinary field of sustainability studies.

Like other types of modelling, ABM brings about simplifications of the perceived reality (Gilbert and Troitzsch 2005). Yet, it offers a different way of simplification by enabling the study of non-linear systems dynamically and as a whole, rather than in parts. It facilitates systematic reasoning and analysis in complicated or complex settings by generating virtual elements that are intended to imitate real-life processes. Agent-based models generate many independent and interacting virtual agents that are also the primary units of analysis. These agents are 'self-contained programs which can control their own actions based on their perceptions of their operating environment' (Huhns and Singh, 1997) and they can be built to represent independent and adaptive individuals or elements in a system.

While the social sciences have seen much of the early development of ABM, it is also increasingly being used for analysing social behaviour and organisation in an archaeological

context. Important studies include Kohler et al.'s influential work on Anasazi populations (1996) and Graham's spatial and social network analysis based on Antonine itineraries (2006).

ABM is also increasingly seen in more natural science and engineering purposes; though specific construction applications are more limited. Most recently, Son et al. (2015) have reviewed the use of ABM in construction research and note, in particular, its ability to deal with emergent behaviour in complex systems and the advantage that ABM might have over more reductionist approaches. Sawhney et al. (2002) review the use of ABM in answering questions within complex construction systems. They conclude that by combining ABM with more traditional discrete event approaches, these systems can consider human factors that impact the construction site such as worksite safety, education, and the integration of a diverse workforce. These human factors have been investigated further using ABM in the work of Ahn and Lee (2014). They have provided additional credibility for modelling the influence of social interaction on worksites by comparing data collected through surveys of individual workers. Other notable examples consider the construction supply chain, such as the early work by Tah (2005) who used ABM to simulate alternative approaches to supply chain management.

CASE EXAMPLE – MODELLING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE FILDAMI RESERVOIR

The Reservoir

The Fildamı Sarnıcı (also known as the Cistern of Hebdomon) was one of the largest known open air reservoirs of Byzantine Constantinople (Figure 5), located outside of the Theodosian Land Walls of Constantinople in the modern neighbourhood of Bakirköy. There is also no

clear date for its construction but it is suggested that it was built sometime in the later sixth century (Ergil 1974 and Crow 2012b) or during the seventh century (Bardill 2004).

The Fildamı reservoir serves as a vital case study for the understanding of infrastructural construction in the Byzantine Constantinople. Because of its location outside of the most densely populated areas of the modern city of Istanbul, it retains much of its original structural features. It has been extensively studied and surveyed and matches both the building styles and materials used on the three open-air reservoirs within the ancient city of Constantinople— Mocius, Aetius and Aspar. Furthermore, we know that it was located in an area that was close to stone quarries (Van Millingen 1899) and brick yards (Bardill 2004).

The dimensions of the reservoir vary very slightly between sources. We have used Ergil's (1974) interior measurements – 127 m by 76 m – and the survey completed by Bono et al. in 2000 for the thickness of the walls (Figure 6). Ergil describes the reservoir as being North-South orientated, and talks of how the land on which it sits slopes downwards to the east. This land orientation is also interesting because it is the motivation behind the monumental niches that are constructed into the outer face of the east wall – in order to strengthen the ability of the wall to resist the force applied by the water – and the inner face of the west wall – similarly to resist the force of the soil.

The exact height of the reservoir is slightly varied across several of the sources, since the remains of the structure no longer reaches the full height when first constructed. The survey carried out by Paolo Bono in 2000 suggests that at its highest point, the internal wall would have measured 11 m. This suggestion is supported by Ergil, who stated that the interior walls were visible to a height of 10m, but that soundings taken at the time suggested that the reservoir floor was 1m below what was then the ground level (Ergil 1974). Comparison with other contemporary structures of similar height such as the Anastasian Wall (see Snyder

2013) suggests that the foundations go down to a depth of up to 3.25 m below current ground level.

Primarily, the walls of the reservoir are constructed in *Opus vittatum*. Focussing on the internal walls which reveal more of the facing - there are eight coursed stone and rubble layers, and nine brick. Despite no surviving evidence, it is assumed that a fine layer of waterproof plaster would have been applied to the walls of Fildamı and other open air reservoirs in a similar fashion to covered cisterns and channels.

A typical band of bricks consists of five courses of square bricks, approximately 33 cm long and 3-4 cm thick – with the mortar joints being horizontally and vertically the same thickness of the bricks themselves (Ergil 1974). The bricks contain no brickstamps, markers typically used to determine when and where they were made. It is entirely possible, based on the consistent size throughout the structure, that these bricks were not reused and may have been made specifically for the reservoir.

Modelling Scenarios

At the beginning of this paper, we see the words of Frontinus on the difference between the distribution of workgroups amongst tasks before and after he became *curator aquarum*. This has been applied to the model as the basis for developing and testing two scenarios concerned with management of constructing Fildamı reservoir.

These scenarios will specifically investigate the influence of different management practices for the processes of acquiring raw materials, producing composite materials and transporting all materials to the required production zone or construction site. In the first scenario, workers will be distributed randomly to the multiple production and distribution processes. They will have fixed tasks for the duration of the construction process, representing a hands-

off management approach with a constant production rate for each material. The second scenario follows Frontinus’ management style of assigning tasks to workgroups in advance. This produces movement of workers to new tasks based on a constant assessment of conditions such as rates of production, material demand, and process scheduling. This heuristic approach represents the lack of optimisation tools but the Roman administrator’s awareness of the importance of management within large-scale construction projects.

The construction plan chosen to be modelled for each scenario was that building took place in multiple 4m-length sections of wall, built to full height and erected simultaneously with other sections. The advantage of this is threefold: First, it represents evidence of work gang practices found in the archaeological record. Unlike the larger contract sections discussed in the building of aqueducts (Hodge 1992), this is closer in similarity to the catacombs of Trajan’s Market in Rome where archaeological evidence shows clear demarcations of vertical sections (Volpe & Rossi 2012). Second, unlike a course-by-course scenario, all the types of materials used in its construction will be considered throughout each run of the model. This simulates the constant need for all materials to arrive to the construction site in a timely manner considering both current and future need. Third, this allows for the rapid upward construction evidenced by thick mortar joints and creep from increased load on masonry whose mortar has not fully cured. This is typical of Late Antique and Byzantine structures, most commonly discussed in regards to Constantinople’s Hagia Sophia (Mark and Çakmak 1992, 1994), which was finished in just under five years.

The development of the working model

Using the scenario outlined above, the model was constructed using the ABM modelling platform *NetLogo*, an open source, GPL programming environment developed by Uri

Wilensky at Northwestern University in 1999 (NetLogo, 1999). At its core, it uses the concept of *Turtles* and *Patches*. A *Turtle* is an autonomous agent that can move – for instance a stone mason or mortar mixer; while a *Patch* is one that is a stationary geographic location, such as a sand quarry, brickyard or construction site (Figure 7). ABM allows for variable or static inputs to the model that reflect the states of agents and patches, as well as representing the context of the study (e.g. number of quarries, distance to travel, size and quantity of brick-kilns). It also enables more direct representation of individual behaviour and interactions through execution of code by multiple heterogeneous agents.

In this study, the functioning of the model was conceptualised based on a work breakdown structure that analysed the processes involved in creating and transporting all of the materials from their source locations to site. Archaeological qualitative and quantitative data is used where possible in both development and calibration of the model. Our aim in developing the model was representing the core production processes as accurately as possible and positioning these core processes in (a) an abstract geographical space and (b) different regimes of project management and social organisation. Regarding the former, we aimed to represent alternative geographical layouts for core production and construction sites. Regarding the latter, we aimed to re-generate Frontinus' narrative on seemingly chaotic and unproductive operations of production and construction sites to his management practice based on daily activity plans.

Elements of the model

Agents: There are three classes of agents in the model: people, sites and ox carts. People are further divided into workers and managers (including site managers and a project managers).

Although it is not explored in the preliminary experiments introduced in this paper, people are heterogenous in various dimensions. They have different occupations and they vary in their skill levels for each production activity. They also have an age and home town. Sites organise production activities, hold capital (kilns), employ workers and procure input materials. Ox carts are used to carry material. They have a volume and weight capacity as well as an average speed.

Environment: The model uses a hypothetical, flat landscape where there are multiple possible sites that clay, sand and limestone can be quarried. Among these three materials that can be acquired from the nature, clay is widely available, sand is relatively more specific to be available in certain areas and limestone is the most specific material available in multiple locations but not everywhere. We generate a hypothetical natural environment with these three resources and place our built environment within this geography. More specifically, we assume the construction site is at the centre of the landscape and choose the locations for clay, sand and limestone among the proximate locations where these resources are available. We retrain the information that represents the environment to be able to re-generate it and run a broad range of experiments using the same virtual environment.

Materials and Production: There are eight different types of material that we represent in our model. These are: clay, sand, facingstone, rubble, lime, bricks, crushed bricks and mortar. Among these materials facingstone, rubble, bricks and mortar are used in the construction. Clay and sand are used in the brick production, crushed brick and lime are used in mortar. Rubble is also used for producing lime. In this regard, there are different hierarchies of

materials. Materials such as rubble and brick are demanded by other production processes as well as the construction.

These eight materials are also diverse with respect to the nature of their production processes. While clay, sand and rubble are quarried and so acquired from nature by using labour, other materials such as crushed brick and mortar require material inputs. Lime and brick production also involves capital as material inputs are fired in kilns. Furthermore, while production of other materials are more or less continuous processes, kiln and lime production involves batches and processing (drying, firing and cooling) times.

The model represents these processes with the production functions given below. There are two basic types of functions; one acquires material from nature (quarrying) while the other turns a material into another form. We assume material is abundantly available at the quarry sites in comparison to the project needs. So production is a direct function of labour/skill hours.

$$FQ_i = f(L_i) = \alpha_i L_i \quad \text{where } i=1,..4$$

L stands for labour days and α represents productivity of a worker with average skill levels.

If workers are more skilled than average, they can take less time to quarry, if they are less skilled they took longer.

$$FPI = f(R_{ij}, L_i) = \min [(R_{ij}/\beta_{ij}), L_i/\gamma_i] \quad \text{where } i=5,..9 \text{ and } 0 < j < 5.$$

and R_{ij} are the quantity of material inputs j of production process i . The number of material inputs (and hence the upper bound of j) varies for different processes but is lower than 5. β_{ij} is the amount of material j required for production process i , and γ_i is the same for

Labour/skill hours. Drying, kiln firing and cooling processes are mainly modelled as waiting processes, although two workers are constantly allocated when the kiln is being fired.

$$FQ_i = f(L_i) = \alpha_i L_i \text{ where } i=1,..4$$

Calibration of the model

The levels of these inputs have to be hypothesised – unlike the modelling of modern construction where time and motion studies might be undertaken, no such data exists.

The first set of inputs comes from reverse quantity surveying of Fildamı. Archaeological survey data was used to break down the total structure into volume and number (where applicable) of bricks, stones, and mortar. The volumetric figure for mortar was separated further into the raw material constituents of crushed brick, sand and lime based on petrographic analysis of mortars from the water supply (Snyder 2016). This quantitative information is used as static inputs, which are dictated by the physical remains of the structure. In order to reflect the chosen scenario, these material quantities were also calculated for each band of brick and stone band within the designated 4 m stretch of wall.

Similarly, the rate at which a given task can be carried out is assumed to be static, based on historical figures of manpower presented by DeLaine (1997) and based on Pegoretti (1869). The aim was to build a model that reflected a plausible real-life historical system representing construction processes and individual interactions.

The system that has been modelled was shaped by both textual evidence and hypotheses. For instance, it is assumed that an average work day is twelve hours with a two-hour break and that construction would stop during months with unfavourable weather conditions (DeLaine 1997). It is also assumed that speed and weight limits would have applied to both short- and

long-haul transportation. For example, a law from the fourth century limits ox-cart loads to a maximum of roughly 500 kg (*Codex Theodosianus* 8.5.30).

Results

The outputs from the model of the system can be manipulated, tested and experimented within a manner consistent with normal modelling methodologies. Key outcomes from the model are presented here to show the potential of answering the research questions about the construction of Fildamı reservoir.

The simulation experiments presented above compares two project management scenarios. In the first scenario, workers are randomly assigned to one of the nine production and construction sites. That being said, there are many ways an algorithm that randomly assigns agents to sites can be designed. In the current model, we envisaged production sites that were previously functional but, in time, due to lack of management and contingencies, shifted into employing irregular numbers of workers. To implement this conceptualisation, we used the same number of rounds of allocations for each site, but we allowed previously assigned workers to be picked during the worker allocation process as well. In the second scenario, a daily schedule that first allocates more workers in the quarry processes and gradually assigns more and more workers towards lime, brick, crushed brick, mortar and construction processes is tested against the random allocation. We used an input file that has such a shifting allocation to implement this regime.

During experiments, we observed a very high variation in project completion times. In some experiments, the project was never completed, even in long (10,000 ticks – days in this case) experiment runs. Further analysis showed that this variation was caused by the way production sites choose between orders in terms of delivering produced materials. As materials such as brick and rubble are both required by other production processes (crushed

brick and lime respectively) in addition to the construction site, the way orders are picked for delivery affected the results. More specifically, when brick and rubble sites are slow in satisfying all orders, if they choose randomly between open orders, they are more likely to choose an order from other production processes that create a higher number of smaller orders frequently, compared to the construction site that makes few big orders. As production sites continue to send orders, if the construction site's order is not picked early in the simulations, the probability that they will be picked falls in simulated time. In other words, without our intention, the way orders are randomly picked in the model created a Pólya urn process that leads to bifurcations.

We thus created an alternative way production sites pick an order for delivery. Instead of selecting among open orders, they select among clients. This means that production processes that have high number of small orders and construction process that has few big orders have equal likelihood of being picked. The second random selection shows results for this scenario (Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION – APPLICATION OF ABM TO ARCHAEOLOGICAL
ENGINEERING AND MODERN CONSTRUCTION
MANAGEMENT**

The case example above utilises agent-based modelling as a means to hypothesise the nature of constructing an ancient structure. Results can be generated even when there is very little direct data to represent the input to the system. As mentioned above, this is crucial when studying construction in late antiquity, where evidence is sparse and seeing the broad picture is seemingly impossible. The model is manipulated to propose multiple ‘what-if’ scenarios on how the material production and delivery could be managed, with clear outputs available to

1
2
3 make a judgement on the likelihood and efficiency of the resulting output. As Siebers et al.
4
5 (2010) acknowledge, this contrasts with alternative modelling platforms such as Discrete-
6
7 Event Simulation, which, while having been thoroughly tested with simple modern cyclic
8
9 processes (see for example the early work of Smith, 1998) require and rigid mathematical
10
11 representations of the input parameters.
12
13

14
15 With no historical or archaeological data pertaining to the construction of Fildamı other than
16
17 the structure itself— i.e. size and type of workforce, completion time, material acquisition,
18
19 planning— this model has allowed us to input analogous information from other historical
20
21 construction projects. Early runs of the model explored these inputs via Factorial
22
23 Experimentation, with the conclusion that the brick kilns had greatest effect to the models
24
25 response—in this case the time it took to complete. These initial results confirmed that
26
27 Fildamı could have been built under the most ideal, yet unrealistic conditions (unlimited
28
29 labour and transport resources) in around 50 days with no need for large-scale stockpiling—
30
31 with the exception of brick—or significant idle time for labourers.
32
33
34

35
36 From an archaeological perspective, this model has been extremely fruitful as a confirmation
37
38 exercise for the use of comparative data to study past construction projects. For instance,
39
40 using pre-industrial labour rates (manpower) has been commonplace in the field of
41
42 archaeological engineering since DeLaine's (1997) seminal work on the Baths of Caracalla in
43
44 Rome. These figures play a central role in our model, acting as the parameters for the rate at
45
46 which a process can be completed. The common assumption has been that the labour rates
47
48 would be similar for any craftsman before mechanisation, as long as the tools and general
49
50 social conditions were the same. While the results of this model do not secure these rates as
51
52 fact for late antiquity, they have proven to be reliable constraints for the model.
53
54

55
56 A somewhat unexpected result first appeared during reverse quantity surveying and was
57
58 confirmed through Factorial Experimentation. Bricks would have had to have been produced
59
60

specifically for the purpose of being crushed as an ingredient of mortar. It was initially thought that the unsuccessfully fired or broken bricks could have been used exclusively. However, the large quantity of mortar used with high proportions of crushed brick was far outweighed the quantity of structural brick. Furthermore, the critical nature of brick production and the resulting competition for supply would have required a separate industry—probably within the brick production industry—for this key material. This finding is of great interest to those studying late antique and Byzantine Constantinople as there is no archaeological or textual evidence about what must have been a massive brick industry.

Like most modelling platforms, ABM allows for scalability and adaptability and this is realised on a number of levels. First is that a model, once constructed, can in itself be then used as an agent in a larger model. For instance, in the case study example above, the parameters from modelling the construction of a single structure of the water supply of Constantinople can then be inserted into a model of the whole infrastructure system. The second feature of ABM to be noted is that the rules and procedures that are written in to the code to dictate how the agents behave and interact can be easily updated, again in a way that the ‘hard-wired’ logic of say Discrete-Event simulation cannot. This is where ABM applied to questions of a historical or archaeological nature have a strong advantage over other modelling techniques where hypotheses on social behaviour can be tested through changing rules of interaction based on partial or missing data.

ABM can be coupled with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to provide updatable and/or scenario based data input on the geographic nature of a system. Archaeologists have been using GIS within ABM for some time but mainly as a means of investigating settlement and movement patterns. In the case of constructing the water supply of Constantinople, where the system to be modelled is at least 425 km in length (Ruggeri et al. 2016), this is an exciting possibility to explore innovative avenues of GIS and ABM applications. Within the broader

research project on the engineering of the water supply of Constantinople, the CLAWS (Constructing the Late Antique Water Supply) model is being designed on a much larger scale, encompassing a significant section of the channels and bridges that brought water to Constantinople (Figure 9). This model is bound by the output criteria of the Fildamı model while exploring the implications of managing a multi-site megaproject. Importantly, the CLAWS model takes advantage of geospatial elements of the Thracian environment. In the same way that modelling the Fildamı reservoir has informed us of the management of material procurement and supply, the larger CLAWS model should provide much needed historical information about the socio-political and economic framework involved in construction projects in the late antiquity.

The opportunities afforded as well as obstacles faced by the integration of ABM in modern construction is still to be seen. It is likely that some of the same limitations that have been encountered in this project will be faced in future applications. For instance, how do we identifying the necessary attributes required to depict the real world without the obfuscation of unnecessary detail? How do we model the social characteristics of the agents that crucially influence interaction when the workforce has yet to be identified, let alone given their own voice?

In other cases, we foresee major advantages to applying ABM to modern projects. Where many of our inputs regarding material procurement, decision making, division of labour etc. are assumptions about historical constructs, the longstanding procedures of good management practice provide a clear framework for modelling. Furthermore, issues surrounding aspects of decision making hierarchy and cost are not necessarily muddled in ambiguity or hyperbole as is so often the case in the scant historical evidence.

CONCLUSIONS

As a historical artefact, modelling the structures of Constantinople’s water supply presents interesting challenges: that they were built cannot be disputed, their presence and mass are clear tributes to the engineering and construction skills of the time. Yet how they were built is more difficult to know. This of course is a primary aim of the overall research; but most modelling exercises will be undertaken with some degree of how a specific system works and this is, unfortunately, absent for the water supply of Constantinople.

Via a case study of a historical construction example, ABM has been shown to be effective in dealing with the complex interactions of seemingly autonomous agents, allowing for the emergent properties of these systems in ways existing simulation methodologies might not manage. This can be assumed to be the case not only for the historical example presented here but also for modern construction applications. ABM has already shown its potential to grow from mostly sociology and economic applications to being a fruitful research approach for many subject areas. The conclusions drawn have great potential to be used in a wide range of applications within production of the built environment.

The outcomes of the model of the Fildamı reservoir demonstrate that of all the agents and inputs to this system it was the way in which orders were received at production sites that had the largest impact on its construction. Even in the event that all tasks were planned a day in advance as Frontinus indicates, the management of input material orders would have to be taken into equal consideration— likely by someone more localised to the production area. All of this provides strong support for the hypothesis that a high level of management of tasks and resources from the top down was crucial in the timely success of construction projects. Within the larger context of the management of the larger megaproject of the water supply of

Constantinople, the competition of resources between multiple worksites would require some heuristic strategy for the many levels of decision making.

Returning to the discussion in the introduction about modern project management versus the history of how projects were managed, we must remember that this is not an exercise about how history can inform modern project management or vice versa. While there are many similarities in the ways projects are managed, Walker and Dart (2011) and Morris (2013) provide clear explanations about the folly of applying Project Management principles to historical construction projects. What has been shown through a historical case study, however, is that ABM is an innovative method that allows an understanding of construction projects in the absence of detailed input data. Such scenarios are not uncommon from early stage construction planning activities seen in modern projects.

REFERENCES

- Bardill, J. (2004) *Brickstamps of Constantinople*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Bono, P., Agrillo, E. and Casella, A., (2000) Fildami Cistern Survey - 24 July 2000.
- Chiu, Y. C. (2012) *A History of Medieval Project Management: From the Byzantine Empire to the Gothic Period*. Eburon Academic Publishers, Delft.
- Crapper, M, Ruggeri, F, Ward, K.A. and Crow, J (2016) A Steady Flow Hydraulic Model of the 4th and 5th Century Aqueducts Supplying Constantinople. In: *4th IWA International Symposium on Water and Wastewater Technologies in Ancient Civilizations*. September 17-19, 2016 Coimbra, Portugal.
- Crow, J (2012) Ruling the waters: managing the water supply of Constantinople, ad 330–1204. *Water History*, 4(1), pp.35–55.

- Crow, J., Bardill, J. & Bayliss, R. (2008) *The Water Supply of Byzantine Constantinople*, London: The Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies.
- DeLaine, J. (1997) *The baths of Caracalla: a study in the design, construction, and economics of large-scale building projects in imperial Rome*. Journal of Roman Archaeology, Portsmouth, R.I.
- Ergil, T., (1974) A Byzantine Cistern near Istanbul. *Archaeology*, 27(1), pp.42–47.
- Frontinus (1961, 1961. *Stratagems and the Aqueducts of Rome* (trans. Charles Bennett). Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Gilbert, N. and Troitzsch, K.G. (2005) *Simulation for the Social Scientist*. Open University press, Buckingham, UK.
- Graham, S. (2006) Networks, agent-based models and the Antonine itineraries: implications for Roman archaeology. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 19.1: 45–64.
- Hodge, A.T. (1992) *Roman Aqueducts and Water Supply*. Duckworth Publishers, London.
- Huhns, M.N. and Singh, M.P. (1997) *Readings in Agents*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc. California.
- Jerkø, S (2009) Construction versus archaeological sectors: enemies or friends? In: *Proc. 5th Nordic Conference on Construction Economics and Organisation*, Reykjavík, Iceland, 10-12 June 2009, Vol. 1, 121-131.
- Kohler, T.A., van West, C, Carr, E.P. and Langton, C.G. (1996) Agent-based modeling of prehistoric settlement systems in the Northern American southwest. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Conference/Workshop on Integrating GIS and Environmental Modeling*. Santa Fe: 21–25.
- Kwak, Y. H. (2005). A brief history of project management. In: Carayannis, E. G., Kwak, Y. H., & Anbari, F. T. (Eds.). *The story of managing projects: an interdisciplinary approach*. Greenwood Publishing Group

- Manas, J. (2010) *Project Lessons from the Roman Empire: An Ancient Guide to Modern Project Management*. Multi-Media Publications Inc., St. Louis.
- Mark, R. & Çakmak, A.S. (1992) *The Hagia Sophia: From the Age of Justinian to the Present*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Mark, R. & Cakmak, A.S. (1994) Mechanical Tests of Material from the Hagia Sophia Dome. *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 48, 277-278.
- Marsella, S.C., Pynadath, D.V. and Read, S.J. (2004) PsychSim: Agent-based modeling of social interactions and influence. In *Proceedings of the international conference on cognitive modeling* (Vol. 36, pp. 243-248).
- NetLogo (1999) A multi-agent programmable modeling environment
<https://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo> (Accessed 24 March 2016).
- Ousterhout, R. (2008). *Master Builders of Byzantium*. University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology & Anthropology, Philadelphia.
- Pegoretti, G. (1869). *Manuale pratico per l'estimazione dei lavori architettonici, stradale, idraulici, edi fortificazione, per uso degli ingegneri ed architetti (2nd edition, revised by A. Cantalupi)*. Domenico Salvi e Comp. Milan.
- Ruggeri F., Crapper M., Snyder, J.R. and Crow J. (2016). A GIS-based assessment of the Byzantine water supply system of Constantinople. In: *4th IWA International Symposium on Water and Wastewater Technologies in Ancient Civilizations*, September 17-19, 2016, Coimbra, Portugal.
- Siebers, P.O., Macal, C.M., Garnett, J., Buxton, D. and Pidd, M. (2010) Discrete-event simulation is dead, long live agent-based simulation! *Journal of Simulation*, 4(3), pp.204-210.

- Smith, S.D. (1998) Stochastic analysis of cyclic construction processes. *In: Hughes, W (Ed.), 14th Annual ARCOM Conference*, 9-11 September 1998, University of Reading. Association of Researchers in Construction Management, Vol. 1, 127-36.
- Snyder, J.R. (2013) *Construction requirements of the Water Supply of Constantinople and Anastasian Wall*. The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- Snyder, J.R. (2016) "Building the longest water supply system: large-scale construction in Constantinople's hinterland" *Annual of Istanbul Studies*, no. 5 (2016).
- Son, J., Rojas, E.M. and Shin, S.W. (2015) Application of agent-based modeling and simulation to understanding complex management problems in CEM research. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 21(8), pp.998-1013.
- Tah, J.H. (2005) Towards an agent-based construction supply network modelling and simulation platform. *Automation in Construction*, 14(3), pp.353-359.
- Treadgold, W (2001). *A Concise History of Byzantium*, Palgrave, Basingstoke, UK.
- Van Millingen, A. (1899). *Byzantine Constantinople: The Walls of the City and Adjoining Historical Sites*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Vitruvius (1914). *The Ten Books on Architecture* (Trans. Morgan, M. H.). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Volpe, R. & Rossi, F.M., 2012. Nuovi Dati Sull'edra SudNOvest Delle Terme Di Traiano Sul Colle Oppio: Percorsi, Iscrizioni Dipinte E Tempi Di Construzione. In S. Camporeale, H. Dessales, & A. Pizzo, eds. *Arqueología de la construcción III: Los Procesos Constructivos en el Mundo Romano: La Economía de las Obras*. Madrid.
- Walker, D., & Dart, C. J. (2011). 'Frontinus- A Project Manager from the Roman Empire Era', *Project Management Journal*, 42(5), pp.4-16.

Ward, K. A., Crapper, M., Altug, K., & Crow, J. (2016). 'The Byzantine Cisterns of Constantinople'.
In: *4th IWA International Symposium on Water and Wastewater Technologies in Ancient
Civilizations*, September 17-19, 2016, Coimbra, Portugal.


For Peer Review Only



Kumarlıdere (L) and Kurşunlugerme (R) aqueduct bridges. (Smith 2015)

455x150mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Peer Review Only



Map of the Byzantine water supply of Constantinople and the spring sources feeding the system (after Ruggeri et al., 2016)

150x106mm (300 x 300 DPI)



'Opus vittatum' construction – alternating courses of stone and brick. (Smith 2014)

147x109mm (300 x 300 DPI)




A masons' mark from Kumarlıdere aqueduct bridge. (Snyder 2008)

142x106mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Fildamı Reservoir. (Smith 2015)
159x74mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Plan of Fildami Reservoir. (After Bono et al. 2000)

205x141mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Schematic of agent-based model of the building of Fildamı reservoir showing the production and delivery of materials to the construction site.

133x81mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Flowchart showing the main algorithms used in the model for sites, workers and manager.

266x355mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Results of the simulation scenarios showing the time it took to complete the simulation. Each dot represents a run of the simulation where the wall of the reservoir was successfully completed.

353x231mm (300 x 300 DPI)



CLAWS model depicting the GIS environment of the fifth-century phase of construction.

120x73mm (300 x 300 DPI)